



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,704	01/26/2004	Mark E. Ainsworth	PREC122226	9275
26389 7590 11/28/2007 CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC 1420 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 2800 SEATTLE, WA 98101-2347			EXAMINER RICHMAN, GLENN E	
			ART UNIT 3764	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 11/28/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/765,704

Applicant(s)

AINSWORTH ET AL.

Examiner

Glenn Richman

Art Unit

3764

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 September 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-15 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 16-37 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date See Continuation Sheet
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date  
:8/17/04,9/14/04,4/21/06,8/27/07.

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16-21, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oglesby et al.

Oglesby et al disclose using a control server at a fitness facility to gather service information from at least one fitness equipment unit (abstract).

Oglesby et al do not disclose a wireless connection, however it would have been obvious to use a wireless connection with Oglesby et al's control, as it is well known in the exercise art to use wireless devices, for transmitting data.

Oglesby et al further disclose determining whether the service information gathered in step (a) is significant (abstract); and (c) automatically sending a service alert message to at least one predefined recipient regarding service information that is significant (abstract), sending a service alert is accomplished automatically (col. 1, lines 65 – et seq.), sending a service alert is accomplished only when requested by at least one recipient (col. 1, lines 65 – et seq.), using the control server to gather service information includes storing the service information in a database associated with the control server (abstract), the service information is considered significant if the fitness equipment unit requires servicing (abstract), wherein the service information is sent by

the control server (abstract), the control server gathers real time service information (abstract).

Claims 22-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oglesby et al in view of Shea.

Oglesby et al do not disclose using an accumulated data storage unit to store history service information; the history service information being formed by the periodic collection of the real time service information.

Shea disclose using an accumulated data storage unit to store history service information; the history service information being formed by the periodic collection of the real time service information "The exercise terminal maintenance data may include, but is not limited to, data regarding previous maintenance checks ( maintenance check dates, maintenance tests performed, problems fixed, etc.) and a schedule for future maintenance checks. The exercise terminal use data may include, but is not limited to, a count of the number of times the terminal has been used. Such terminal use data can provide valuable information to health club operators as to which exercise terminals are popular with exercisers and which are not. The use data may also be utilized in the determination, either automatically by the system control program or by the system administrator, of a schedule for future maintenance checks."

It would have been obvious to use Shea's history service information with Oglesby's device, as it is well known in the art as taught by Shea, to accumulate a service history, for providing data for future servicing.

As for claims 23-25, Shea further discloses determining whether the real time service information is significant includes obtaining and evaluating the history service information. "The exercise terminal maintenance data may include, but is not limited to, data regarding previous maintenance checks ( maintenance check dates, maintenance tests performed, problems fixed, etc.) and a schedule for future maintenance checks. The exercise terminal use data may include, but is not limited to, a count of the number of times the terminal has been used. Such terminal use data can provide valuable information to health club operators as to which exercise terminals are popular with exercisers and which are not. The use data may also be utilized in the determination, either automatically by the system control program or by the system administrator, of a schedule for future maintenance checks.", sending a service alert message includes sending from the control server the real time service information and sending from the accumulated storage unit the history service information "The exercise terminal maintenance data may include, but is not limited to, data regarding previous maintenance checks ( maintenance check dates, maintenance tests performed, problems fixed, etc.) and a

schedule for future maintenance checks. The exercise terminal use data may include, but is not limited to, a count of the number of times the terminal has been used. Such terminal use data can provide valuable information to health club operators as to which exercise terminals are popular with exercisers and which are not. The use data may also be utilized in the determination, either automatically by the system control program or by the system administrator, of a schedule for future maintenance checks.", sending a service alert message includes sending from the control server both the real time service information and the history service information "The exercise terminal maintenance data may include, but is not limited to, data regarding previous maintenance checks ( maintenance check dates, maintenance tests performed, problems fixed, etc.) and a schedule for future maintenance checks. The exercise terminal use data may include, but is not limited to, a count of the number of times the terminal has been used. Such terminal use data can provide valuable information to health club operators as to which exercise terminals are popular with exercisers and which are not. The use data may also be utilized in the determination, either automatically by the system control program or by the system administrator, of a schedule for future maintenance checks."..

As for claim 26, given Oglesby's service information, and Shea's history information, it is obvious a service alert would be sent for both.

As Shea discloses a wireless connection (col. 5, lines 3 - et seq.), it is obvious that the history service information could be formed from the periodic collection of real time service information via a wireless connection, the control server, or the accumulated data storage unit.

As for claim 31, Oglesby discloses requesting service information on the fitness equipment units from an accumulated data storage unit having a permanent database (abstract).

Shea discloses the request including instructions defining what types of service information are to be obtained "The exercise terminal maintenance data may include, but is not limited to, data regarding previous maintenance checks ( maintenance check dates, maintenance tests performed, problems fixed, etc.) and a schedule for future maintenance checks. The exercise terminal use data may include, but is not limited to, a count of the number of times the terminal has been used. Such terminal use data can provide valuable information to health club operators as to which exercise terminals are popular with exercisers and which are not. The use data may also be utilized in the determination, either automatically by the system control program or by the



system administrator, of a schedule for future maintenance checks." ,.

Oglesby discloses the database having been formed from an accumulation of service information from a plurality of temporary databases (col. 5, lines 43 - et seq.); and (b) receiving a service report from the accumulated data storage unit according to the instructions; wherein the service report includes a plethora of information (col. 5, lines 43 - et seq.).

Oglesby does not disclose requesting current service information from a plurality of temporary databases, however it would have been obvious to use a plurality of temporary databases, as Oglesby uses a RAM (49), for having other request data bases to draw from.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Glenn Richman whose telephone number is 571-272-4981. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, LoAn Thanh can be reached on (571)272-4966. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:  
10/765,704  
Art Unit: 3764

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Glenn Richman  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 3764